

PROGRAM AREA 12: RISK AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	TYPE	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
12	OP		Number and percent of eligible youth served using graduated sanctions approaches*	Improve program activities	An unduplicated count of the number of youth served using a graduated sanctions approach by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth served during any part of the reporting period using a graduated sanctions approach. To calculate the percentage, divide the number above by the total number of youth served during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source.	a. Number of youth admitted to <u>graduated sanctions</u> program b. Number of youth admitted into any grantee program c. Percent (a/b)
12	OP		1. Number and percent of <u>intake</u> units using valid and reliable <u>risk assessments</u>	Improve planning and development	Measure of program implementation. Appropriate for grantees that oversee more than one unit, department, or entity that conducts youth <u>intake</u> procedures. Report the raw number of units that use a <u>risk assessment</u> tool (with at least 80 percent of the youth they process) that has been determined through research or evaluation to be valid and reliable. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of <u>intake</u> units overseen by the grantee.	a. Number of units that use a validated <u>risk assessment</u> tool b. Number of units c. Percent (a/b)
12	OP		2. Number and percent of <u>intake</u> units using valid and reliable <u>needs assessments</u>	Improve program activities	Measure of program implementation. Appropriate for grantees that oversee more than one unit, department, or entity that conducts youth <u>intake</u> procedures. Report the raw number of units that use a <u>needs assessment</u> tool (with at least 80 percent of the youth they process) that has been determined through research or evaluation to be valid and reliable. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of <u>intake</u> units overseen by the grantee.	a. Number of units that use a validated <u>needs assessment</u> tool b. Number of units c. Percent (a/b)
12	OP		3. Average number of sources used in <u>assessment</u> process	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability based on the idea that the more sources used, the more accurate the <u>assessment</u> will be. Appropriate for grantees that conduct youth <u>assessments</u> . Report the average number of data sources used in the <u>assessment</u> process (e.g., school records, parental reports, justice records, face-to-face <u>assessments</u> , behavioral observation). If members of a youth's family are <u>assessed</u> separately, count them as different sources, but if they are interviewed together or more than one interview is required to fill in missing information, count them as one source.	Average number of data sources used per youth <u>assessment</u>
12	OP		4. Number and percent of <u>assessment</u> staff with specialized training	Increase organizational capacity	Measure of system accountability based on the idea that properly trained staff provide better service. Appropriate for grantees that conduct <u>assessments</u> . Report the number of staff who conduct <u>assessments</u> , have either received specific training about conducting <u>assessments</u> , or have specialized degrees or certifications (such as being Certified Addictions Specialists, or licensed social workers). Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of staff who conduct <u>assessments</u> .	a. Number of assessors with specialized training: b. Number of assessors: c. Percent (a/b)

PROGRAM AREA 12: RISK AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	TYPE	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
12	S-T OC		Number and percent of program youth completing program requirements*	Increase accountability	The number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion. Program records are the preferred data source.	a. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements b. Number of youth who left the program c. Percent (A/B)
12	S-T OC		5. Number and percent of youth fully <u>assessed</u> using <u>risk</u> and <u>needs assessments</u>	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for grantees that conduct youth <u>assessments</u> . Report the raw number of youth to have complete <u>assessment</u> files (i.e., all <u>assessments</u> were completely administered and there are no missing data). Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of youth processed by the grantee.	a. Number of youth with complete files b. Number of youth c. Percent (a/b)
12	S-T OC		6. Of the total number of youth identified as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u> , the percent identified through the <u>screening/assessment</u> process	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability based on the idea that effective <u>assessment</u> systems will catch the majority of youth with the targeted service needs. Appropriate for grantees that use the results of youth <u>assessments</u> (whether or not they conduct the <u>assessments</u> themselves). Report the raw number of youth identified as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u> through the <u>assessment</u> process divided by the total number of youth identified as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u> .	a. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u> b. Number of youth identified as needing <u>substance abuse treatment</u> c. Percent (a/b)
12	S-T OC		7. Of the total number of youth identified as needing <u>mental health services</u> , the percent identified through the <u>screening/assessment</u> process	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability based on the idea that effective <u>assessment</u> systems will catch the majority of youth with the targeted service needs. Appropriate for grantees that use the results of youth <u>assessments</u> (whether or not they conduct the <u>assessments</u> themselves). Report the raw number of youth identified as needing mental health treatment through the <u>assessment</u> process divided by the total number of youth identified as needing mental health treatment.	a. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing mental health treatment b. Number of youth identified as needing mental health treatment c. Percent (a/b)
12	S-T OC		8. Average time in hours from first justice contact for current offense to youth <u>screening</u>	Increase system capacity	Measure of program efficiency. Appropriate for programs that screen clients or refer clients for <u>screening</u> . Report the average number of hours from youth entering the system (e.g., being referred to the system by their school, being <u>arrested</u> , etc.) to their <u>screening</u> being completed (i.e., all <u>screening</u> data being completely collected).	Average number of hours from first justice contact to <u>screening</u> completion
12	S-T OC		9. Average time in hours from <u>screening</u> to <u>assessment</u>	Increase system capacity	Measure of program efficiency. Appropriate for programs that assess clients or refer clients for <u>assessment</u> . Report the average number of hours from youths' <u>screening</u> being completed (i.e., all <u>screening</u> data being completely collected) to their <u>assessment</u> being completed (i.e., all <u>assessment</u> data being completely collected).	Average number of hours from <u>screening</u> completion to <u>assessment</u> completion

PROGRAM AREA 12: RISK AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	TYPE	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
12	S-T OC		10. Average time in days from <u>assessment</u> to first service receipt	Increase system capacity	Measure of program efficiency. Appropriate for programs that serve clients or refer clients for service. Report the average number of calendar days from youths' <u>assessment</u> being completed (i.e., all <u>assessment</u> data being completely collected) to their first receipt of service.	Average number of days from <u>assessment</u> completion to first service
12	S-T OC		11. Number and percent of referrals to <u>primary prevention services</u>	Increase system capacity	Measure of program operation. Appropriate for grantees that generate client referrals or oversee the referral process (e.g., a village, rancho, pueblo or reservation grantee spending the funds on building accountability capacity in a probation department). Report the raw number of referrals (not individual youth) for a primary prevention service. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of referrals generated.	a. Number of referrals for a <u>primary prevention service</u> b. Number of referrals total c. Percent (a/b)
12	S-T OC		12. Number and percent of referrals to <u>secondary prevention services</u>	Increase system capacity	Measure of program operation. Appropriate for grantees that generate client referrals or oversee the referral process (e.g., a village, rancho, pueblo or reservation grantee spending the funds on building accountability capacity in a probation department). Report the raw number of referrals (not individual youth) for a secondary prevention service. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of referrals generated.	a. Number of referrals for a <u>secondary prevention service</u> b. Number of referrals c. Percent (a/b)
12	S-T OC		13. Number of different service referrals per youth	Increase system capacity	Measure of program operation. Appropriate for grantees that generate client referrals or oversee the referral process (e.g., a village, rancho, pueblo or reservation grantee spending the funds on building accountability capacity in a probation department). Report the average number of referrals received by program participants while they are in the program. Different programs would be those, for example, that offer different services, serve different populations, have different procedures or criteria for inclusion or operation, or are run by different people/agencies/organizations.	Average number of referrals per youth
12	I-T OC		14. Number and percent of times services identified through youth <u>assessment</u> are actually received by the <u>assessed</u> youth	Improve program quality	Measure of system accountability based on the idea that if the system identifies a service need, it has the responsibility to meet that need. Appropriate for grantees that use the results of youth <u>assessments</u> (whether or not they conduct the <u>assessments</u> themselves). Report the raw number of times a youth enrolls in, or receives, a service that they were <u>assessed</u> as needing. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of services that youth were <u>assessed</u> as needing.	a. Number of times youth receive referred service b. Number of services youth were assessed as needing c. Percent (a/b)
12	I-T OC		15. Number and percent of cases assigned to <u>alternatives to detention</u>	Improve program quality	To determine if the program is working as intended by actually reducing the number of cases that result in <u>detention</u> . Most appropriate for grantees that include <u>detention</u> in their list of potential sanctions. Report the raw number of program youth who were assigned to an	a. Number of cases assigned to an <u>alternative to detention</u> b. Number of cases assigned to <u>detention</u>

PROGRAM AREA 12: RISK AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	TYPE	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
					alternative institution and who would otherwise have been assigned to <u>detention</u> . Percent is the raw number divided by the raw number plus the number of youth assigned to <u>detention</u> .	c. Percent ($a/(a + b)$)
12	I-T OC		16. Number and percent of youth who cannot receive identified services (e.g., slots full, service not provided locally)	Improve program efficiency	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for grantees that use the results of youth <u>assessments</u> (whether or not they conduct the <u>assessments</u> themselves). Report the raw number of youth who do not receive the service or treatment indicated as needed by their <u>assessment</u> . Percent is the raw number divided by the number of youth assessed as needing service.	a. Number of youth that do not receive services they are <u>assessed</u> as needing b. Number of youth <u>assessed</u> as needing services c. Percent (a/b)
12	L-T OC		Number and percent of program youth who reoffend	Reduce delinquency	The number and percent of program youth who were rearrested or seen at juvenile court for a new delinquent offense. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.	a. Number of youth with a new offense b. Number of youth in program c. Percent (a/b)

TRIBAL JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY DISCRETIONARY GRANTS PERFORMANCE MEASURE KEY

Short Term: Occurs during or by the end of the program.
Intermediate term: Occurs once program enters maintenance phase (applies only to system improvement programs)
Long Term: Occurs 6 months to 1 year after program completion/or program enters maintenance phase.

Bold: Mandatory measure.
Bold*: Mandatory for direct service programs only.
Bold:** Mandatory for system change programs only.

OP: Output
S-T OC: Short-Term Outcome
I-T OC: Intermediate-Term Outcome
L-T OC: Long-Term Outcome